

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patient and Trademark Office Address Commissioners of patients and Trademarks Wathington D.C. 20161 www.mpto.gov.

 AFFECTION NO
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFRMATION NO.

 69902.243
 07/10/2001
 Jae-Phil Boo
 SAM-02/19
 \$224

 7906
 0/04/2001
 3
 3
 3
 3
 3
 3
 3
 3
 3
 4
 3
 3
 4
 3
 3
 4
 3
 4
 3
 4
 4
 3
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4
 4

7590 03/18/2003

Steven M. Mills, Esq. MILLS & ONELLO LLP Suite 605

Eleven Beacon Street Boston, MA 02108 EXAMINER
PHAM, LONG

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2814

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	
Advisory Action		pplicant(s)
	09/902,243	BOO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Long Pham	2814
THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	E THIS APPLICATION IN C to avoid abandonment of this r: (1) a timely filed amendment peal (with appeal fee); or (3)	ONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE, application. A proper reply to a int which places the application in a timely filed Request for Continued
	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]
a) \(\) The period for reply expires \(\frac{2}{2} \) months from the making date of no event, however, will the standard preciol for reply expire on (1) the making date of no event, however, will the standard preciol for reply expired to the period of reply expired to the period of reply expired to the period of the	this Advisory Action, or (2) the date pire later than SIX MONTHS from t WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT! The date on which the petition und iod of extension and the correspon e of the shortened statutory period Office later than three moths after	he mailing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37).	nt's Brief must be filed within CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dism	the period set forth in hissal of the appeal.
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entere	d because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below):
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No		,
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or 	on in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See the attached office action.		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej 	ection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	uld be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has been See the attached office action.	considered but does NOT place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ecause it is not directed SO	LELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendm explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a) will not be entere would be rejected is provide	d or b) will be entered and an ad below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	rs:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-9.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
B. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ o	disapproved by the Examiner.
D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)
0. Other:		
		Long Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment after final or Advisory Action Status of the amendment after final rejection

New issues: The following proposed amendments raise new issues requiring further consideration and/or search:

Claim 1, lines 3, 5-6, 13, and 14.

Therefore, the amendment after final dated 03/05/03 has not been entered.

Status of the pending claims after final rejection

See the final rejection.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/05/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the applicants' arguments in the third paragraph on page 3 and the paragraph connecting pages 3 and 4 of the amendment dated 03/05/03, it is submitted that AAPA in view of Liu et al. teaches that the second insulating layer is selectively removed until the surface of the second insulating layer is substantially even with a surface of the conductive layer or the floating gate by chemical mechanical polishing using the conductive layer or the floating gate as an etching stopper. See the rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Long Pham whose telephone number is 703-308-1092. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Wael Fahmy can be reached on 703-308-4918. The fax

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 2814

L.P.

March 15, 2003